

United States Patent and Trademark-Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/432,820	11/02/1999	ARCHANA KAPOOR	A-57004-4/RF	1595
7	590 08/25/2003	•		
FLEHR HOHBACH TEST			EXAMI	NER
ALBRITTON STE 3400			ZARA, J	ANE J
FOUR EMBACADERO CENTER SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
21.11.12.11.12.1			1635	29
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2003	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

File

Office Action Summary Exam

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/432,820

Examiner

Art Unit

Kapoor et al

Jane Zara

1635



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
	for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the					
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	and date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 28, 2	003			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This acti	on is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>24, 26-33, 41-50, and 52</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 24, 26-33, 41-50, and 52	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.			
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No			
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 	4			
14) 🗆	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
	The translation of the foreign language provisional				
15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachm		· ·			
	ctice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) 🗌 No	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) 🔲 Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:			

Fle

Application/Control Number: 09/432,820

Art Unit: 1635

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the communications filed May 28, 2003, Paper Nos. 25 and 26.

Claims 24, 26-33, 41-50 and 52 are pending in the instant application.

Request for Continued Examination

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 28, 2003 has been entered.

Response to Arguments and Amendments

Any rejections not repeated in this Office action are hereby withdrawn.

The allowability of claims 50 and 52 has been withdrawn in light of the new rejection indicated below.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed.

Application/Control Number: 09/432,820

Art Unit: 1635

Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Page 3

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 24, 26-33, 41-50 and 52 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 5,330,754. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims are drawn to methods of detecting SEQ ID NO: 2 and the previously patented claims are drawn to SEQ ID NO: 2.

Art Unit: 1635

Conclusion

Page 4

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Art Unit 1635 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d)). The official fax telephone numbers for the Group are (703) 308-4242 and (703) 305-3014. NOTE: If Applicant *does* submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by applicant or applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Jane Zara** whose telephone number is (703) 306-5820. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John LeGuyader, can be reached on (703) 308-0447. Any inquiry regarding this application should be directed to the patent analyst, Katrina Turner, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3413. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

JZ

August 20, 2003

RAM R. SHUKLA, PH.D.